

Density Analysis of BSDEs

Thibaut Mastrolia

Joint work in progress with Dylan Possamaï and Anthony Réveillac

CEREMADE
Université Paris Dauphine

Two-day Workshop on Finance and Stochastics,
Barcelona, November 26-27th 2013

RDMath IdF
Domaine d'intérêt Majeur (DIM)
en Mathématiques

 **île de France**

 **DAUPHINE**
UNIVERSITÉ PARIS | **CEREMADE**
UMR CNRS 7534

Financial market model:

- $W := (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ a Brownian motion defined on the probability space $(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$
- Risk-free asset $S^0 := (S_t^0)_{t \in [0, T]}$,

$$dS_t^0 = S_t^0 r dt$$

- Asset $S := (S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$,

$$dS_t = S_t (\theta_t dt + dW_t),$$

where θ is predictable and bounded.

Investing strategy ($r = 0$): $(x, (\Pi_t)_t)$ such that the associated wealth process denoted $(X_t^{x, \Pi})_t$ and defined for all $t \in [0, T]$ by:

$$X_t^{x, \Pi} := x + \int_0^t \Pi_u \frac{dS_u}{S_u} = x + \int_0^t \Pi_u (dW_u + \theta_u du).$$

Investing strategy ($r = 0$): $(x, (\Pi_t)_t)$ such that the associated wealth process denoted $(X_t^{x, \Pi})_t$ and defined for all $t \in [0, T]$ by:

$$X_t^{x, \Pi} := x + \int_0^t \Pi_u \frac{dS_u}{S_u} = x + \int_0^t \Pi_u (dW_u + \theta_u du).$$

- Utility function $U(x) := -e^{-\alpha x}$

Motivation: pricing and hedging problems in finance

Investing strategy ($r = 0$): $(x, (\Pi_t)_t)$ such that the associated wealth process denoted $(X_t^{x, \Pi})_t$ and defined for all $t \in [0, T]$ by:

$$X_t^{x, \Pi} := x + \int_0^t \Pi_u \frac{dS_u}{S_u} = x + \int_0^t \Pi_u (dW_u + \theta_u du).$$

- Utility function $U(x) := -e^{-\alpha x}$
- Utility maximisation problem:

$$V(x) := \sup_{\Pi \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}[U(X_T^{x, \Pi} - F)],$$

where F is a \mathcal{F}_T measurable variable (the liability of the investor).

Motivation: pricing and hedging problems in finance

Hu, Imkeller and Müller have showed that it can be reduced to solve a BSDE (Backward Stochastic Differential Equation) of the form:

$$Y_t = F + \int_t^T h(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad Y_T = F$$

with an explicit formula for the generator h , where (Y, Z) is a pair of adapted processes "*regular enough*".

Motivation: pricing and hedging problems in finance

Hu, Imkeller and Müller have showed that it can be reduced to solve a BSDE (Backward Stochastic Differential Equation) of the form:

$$Y_t = F + \int_t^T h(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad Y_T = F$$

with an explicit formula for the generator h , where (Y, Z) is a pair of adapted processes "*regular enough*".

The value is given by $V(x) = -e^{-\alpha(x - Y_0)}$.

Optimal strategies are characterized by Z_t .

If we are in the Markovian case, we consider the Forward BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s \\ Y_t = g(X_T) + \int_t^T h(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T] \end{cases}$$

If we are in the Markovian case, we consider the Forward BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s \\ Y_t = g(X_T) + \int_t^T h(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T] \end{cases}$$

Problem: Solve numerically this kind of equation.

If we are in the Markovian case, we consider the Forward BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s \\ Y_t = g(X_T) + \int_t^T h(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T] \end{cases}$$

Problem: Solve numerically this kind of equation.

Idea: Get the existence of densities for the Y process and for the Z process with estimates of these densities.

- Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2([0, T], dt)$.

- Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2([0, T], dt)$.
- Let \mathcal{C} the space of random variables of the form:

$$F = f(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n}), \quad (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, T]^n, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

- Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2([0, T], dt)$.
- Let \mathcal{C} the space of random variables of the form:

$$F = f(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n}), \quad (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, T]^n, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

- The Malliavin derivative DF of F is the \mathcal{H} -valued random variable defined as:

$$DF = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n}) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t_i]}.$$

- Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2([0, T], dt)$.
- Let \mathcal{C} the space of random variables of the form:

$$F = f(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n}), \quad (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, T]^n, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

- The Malliavin derivative DF of F is the \mathcal{H} -valued random variable defined as:

$$DF = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n}) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t_i]}.$$

- We denote by $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ the closure of \mathcal{C} with respect to the Sobolev norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$ defined as:

$$\|F\|_{1,2} := \mathbb{E}[|F|^2] + \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |D_t F|^2 dt \right].$$

Theorem (Bouleau-Hirsch)

Assume that $\|DF\|_{L^2([0, T])} > 0$ a.s., then F has a probability distribution which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} , denoted by ρ_F .

Malliavin calculus and densities estimates (F centered)

Assume that $DF = \Phi_F(W)$ where $\Phi_F : \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. We set:

$$g_F(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-u} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}^* [\langle \Phi_F(W), \widetilde{\Phi}_F^u(W) \rangle_{L^2([0, T])}] | F = x \right] du$$

Malliavin calculus and densities estimates (F centered)

Assume that $DF = \Phi_F(W)$ where $\Phi_F : \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. We set:

$$g_F(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-u} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}^* [\langle \Phi_F(W), \widetilde{\Phi}_F^u(W) \rangle_{L^2([0, T])}] | F = x \right] du$$

- Where $\widetilde{\Phi}_F^u(W) := \Phi_F(e^{-u}W + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2u}}W^*)$
- With W^* an independent copy of W defined on a probability space $(\Omega^*, \mathcal{F}^*, \mathbb{P}^*)$
- Where \mathbb{E}^* is the expectation under \mathbb{P}^* .

Malliavin calculus and densities estimates (F centered)

Assume that $DF = \Phi_F(W)$ where $\Phi_F : \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. We set:

$$g_F(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-u} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}^* [\langle \Phi_F(W), \widetilde{\Phi}_F^u(W) \rangle_{L^2([0, T])}] | F = x \right] du$$

- Where $\widetilde{\Phi}_F^u(W) := \Phi_F(e^{-u}W + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2u}}W^*)$
- With W^* an independent copy of W defined on a probability space $(\Omega^*, \mathcal{F}^*, \mathbb{P}^*)$
- Where \mathbb{E}^* is the expectation under \mathbb{P}^* .

Theorem (Nourdin-Viens)

F has a density ρ_F with respect to the Lebesgue measure if and only if the random variable $g_F(F)$ is positive a.s.. In this case, the support of ρ_F is a closed interval of \mathbb{R} and for all $x \in \text{supp}(\rho_F)$:

$$\rho_F(x) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(|F|)}{2g_F(x)} \exp \left(- \int_0^x \frac{udu}{g_F(u)} \right).$$

We make the classical assumption:

- L) $h : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz in (x, y, z) with Lipschitz constants respectively k_x, k_y, k_z , i.e. for all $(x, x', y, y', z, z') \in \mathbb{R}^6$:

$$|h(x, y, z) - h(x', y', z')| \leq k_x|x - x'| + k_y|y - y'| + k_z|z - z'|.$$

Theorem (Antonelli-Kohatsu Higa (2005))

Assume that L) holds (plus some conditions on the coefficients b, σ, g and h). We set $K := k_b + k_y + k_\sigma k_z$. Let $t \in (0, T]$. If for some $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X_T \in A | X_t) > 0$:

$$\begin{cases} \underline{g} e^{-\text{sgn}(\underline{g})KT} + \underline{h}(t) \int_t^T e^{-\text{sgn}(\underline{h}(s))Ks} ds & \geq 0 \\ \underline{g}^A e^{-\text{sgn}(\underline{g}^A)KT} + \underline{h}(t) \int_t^T e^{-\text{sgn}(\underline{h}(s))Ks} ds & > 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

or

$$\begin{cases} \bar{g} e^{-\text{sgn}(\bar{g})KT} + \bar{h}(t) \int_t^T e^{-\text{sgn}(\bar{h}(s))Ks} ds & \leq 0 \\ \bar{g}^A e^{-\text{sgn}(\bar{g}^A)KT} + \bar{h}(t) \int_t^T e^{-\text{sgn}(\bar{h}(s))Ks} ds & < 0, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

is met, then Y_t has a probability distribution that is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Densities existence for BSDEs: previous results

- These conditions are sufficient but not necessary. As shown in the following example.

- These conditions are sufficient but not necessary. As shown in the following example.
- Example (M., Possamaï, Réveillac).

Let $T = 1$, $g(x) = x$, $X = W$, $h(s, x, y, z) = (s - 2)x$.

(2) is not satisfied for any $t \in [0, T]$.

(1) is not satisfied for any $t \in [0, \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2})$.

However for all $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \mathbb{E} \left[W_1 + \int_t^1 (s - 2) W_s ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= W_t \left(1 + \int_t^1 (s - 2) ds \right) = W_t \left(-\frac{1}{2} + 2t - \frac{t^2}{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

admits a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure except when $t = 2 - \sqrt{3}$.

Antonelli and Kohatsu-Higa have proved an other theorem with upper order conditions on h when it does not depend on z . Let:

$$\tilde{g}(x) := g'(x) + (T - t)h_x(T, x, g(x)),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(s, x, y, z) := & - \left(h_{xt} - hh_{xy} + \frac{1}{2}(h_{xxx} + 2zh_{xxy} + z^2h_{xyy}) \right. \\ & \left. + h_y h_x + \sigma_x h_{xx} + z\sigma_x h_{xy} \right) (s, x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Antonelli-Kohatsu Higa)

Assume that h *does not depend on the variable z* and suppose that L holds (plus some conditions on the coefficients). Let $t \in (0, T]$. If for some $A \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X_T \in A | \mathcal{X}_t) > 0$:

$$\begin{cases} \underline{\tilde{g}} e^{-\text{sgn}(\underline{\tilde{g}})KT} + \underline{\tilde{h}}(t) \int_t^T e^{-\text{sgn}(\underline{\tilde{h}}(s))Ks} (T-s) ds & \geq 0 \\ \underline{\tilde{g}}^A e^{-\text{sgn}(\underline{\tilde{g}}^A)KT} + \underline{\tilde{h}}(t) \int_t^T e^{-\text{sgn}(\underline{\tilde{h}}(s))Ks} (T-s) ds & > 0 \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\tilde{g}} e^{-\text{sgn}(\overline{\tilde{g}})KT} + \overline{\tilde{h}}(t) \int_t^T e^{-\text{sgn}(\overline{\tilde{h}}(s))Ks} (T-s) ds & \leq 0 \\ \overline{\tilde{g}}^A e^{-\text{sgn}(\overline{\tilde{g}}^A)KT} + \overline{\tilde{h}}(t) \int_t^T e^{-\text{sgn}(\overline{\tilde{h}}(s))Ks} (T-s) ds & < 0 \end{cases}$$

is met, then Y_t has a probability distribution which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Densities existence for BSDEs: previous results

We study now the existence of a density for Y and Gaussian estimates of this density [in the general case](#).

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} H1 : \text{For all } \theta \leq T, g \in \mathcal{C}_b^1(\mathbb{R}), 0 < c \leq g'(X_T)D_\theta X_T \leq C, a.s. \\ H2 : 0 \leq h_x \leq C \\ H3 : 0 \leq \sigma \leq C \text{ and } |[b, \sigma]| \leq M\sigma \end{array} \right.$$

Densities existence for BSDEs: previous results

We study now the existence of a density for Y and Gaussian estimates of this density [in the general case](#).

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} H1 : \text{For all } \theta \leq T, g \in \mathcal{C}_b^1(\mathbb{R}), 0 < c \leq g'(X_T)D_\theta X_T \leq C, \text{ a.s.} \\ H2 : 0 \leq h_x \leq C \\ H3 : 0 \leq \sigma \leq C \text{ and } |[b, \sigma]| \leq M\sigma \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem (Aboura-Bourguin (2012))

Under the above assumptions H1),H2) and H3), Y_t has a density for $t \in (0, T)$ denoted by ρ_{Y_t} satisfying:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[|Y_t - \mathbb{E}[Y_t]|]}{2ct} \exp\left(-\frac{(y - \mathbb{E}[Y_t])^2}{2Ct}\right) \leq \rho_{Y_t}(y)$$
$$\rho_{Y_t}(y) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|Y_t - \mathbb{E}[Y_t]|]}{2Ct} \exp\left(-\frac{(y - \mathbb{E}[Y_t])^2}{2ct}\right).$$

We study the quadratic case under the following assumption:

- Q) $h : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $(t, x, y, z) \in ([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$:
 $|h(t, x, y, z)| \leq K(1 + |y| + |z|^2)$ for some $K > 0$.

Theorem (M., Possamaï, Réveillac)

Assume that Q) holds with some conditions on the coefficients (but not on the sign of DX_T). Fix $t \in (0, T]$. If for some $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X_T \in A | X_t) > 0$, $g' \geq 0$, $g'_A > 0$ and $\underline{h}(t) \geq 0$ (resp. $g' \leq 0$, $g'_A < 0$ and $\overline{h}(t) \leq 0$), then Y_t has a probability distribution which is absolutely continuous with Lebesgue measure.

We study the quadratic case under the following assumption:

- Q) $h : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $(t, x, y, z) \in ([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$:
 $|h(t, x, y, z)| \leq K(1 + |y| + |z|^2)$ for some $K > 0$.

Theorem (M., Possamaï, Réveillac)

Assume that Q) holds with some conditions on the coefficients (but not on the sign of DX_T). Fix $t \in (0, T]$. If for some $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X_T \in A | X_t) > 0$, $g' \geq 0$, $g'_A > 0$ and $\underline{h}(t) \geq 0$ (resp. $g' \leq 0$, $g'_A < 0$ and $\bar{h}(t) \leq 0$), then Y_t has a probability distribution which is absolutely continuous with Lebesgue measure.

Notice that in this theorem we do not need a sign for DX_T .

Densities existence: our contribution for Y

We study the quadratic case under the following assumption:

- Q) $h : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $(t, x, y, z) \in ([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$:
 $|h(t, x, y, z)| \leq K(1 + |y| + |z|^2)$ for some $K > 0$.

Theorem (M., Possamaï, Réveillac)

Assume that Q) holds with some conditions on the coefficients (but not on the sign of DX_T). Fix $t \in (0, T]$. If for some $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X_T \in A | X_t) > 0$, $g' \geq 0$, $g'_A > 0$ and $\underline{h}(t) \geq 0$ (resp. $g' \leq 0$, $g'_A < 0$ and $\bar{h}(t) \leq 0$), then Y_t has a probability distribution which is absolutely continuous with Lebesgue measure.

Notice that in this theorem we do not need a sign for DX_T .

↪ In the proof we just need to control the norm of DX_T .

Example Consider the BSDE:

$$Y_t = W_T + \int_t^T \frac{1}{2} |Z_s|^2 ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s.$$

Then:

according to the previous theorem, $g' \equiv 1 > 0$ so, Y_t admits a density for all $t \in (0, T]$.

Example Consider the BSDE:

$$Y_t = W_T + \int_t^T \frac{1}{2} |Z_s|^2 ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s.$$

Then:

according to the previous theorem, $g' \equiv 1 > 0$ so, Y_t admits a density for all $t \in (0, T]$.

Indeed, by the uniqueness of the solution to this BSDE:

$Y_t = W_t + \frac{1}{2}(T - t)$, $Z_t = 1$ and Y_t admits a density for all $t \in (0, T]$.

Example Consider the BSDE:

$$Y_t = W_T + \int_t^T \frac{1}{2} |Z_s|^2 ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s.$$

Then:

according to the previous theorem, $g' \equiv 1 > 0$ so, Y_t admits a density for all $t \in (0, T]$.

Indeed, by the uniqueness of the solution to this BSDE:

$Y_t = W_t + \frac{1}{2}(T - t)$, $Z_t = 1$ and Y_t admits a density for all $t \in (0, T]$.

Density existence for the Z process ?

↔ **Aboura and Bourguin** proved that Z_t admits a density under convexity and growth conditions for the terminal condition g and for the generator h when $h(x, y, z) = \tilde{f}(x, y) + \alpha z$ where α is constant.

Densities existence: previous results for Z

- ↪ **Aboura and Bourguin** proved that Z_t admits a density under convexity and growth conditions for the terminal condition g and for the generator h when $h(x, y, z) = \tilde{f}(x, y) + \alpha z$ where α is constant.
- ↪ They have Gaussian estimates of this density when $h \in \mathcal{C}_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}_b^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Densities existence: previous results for Z

- ↪ **Aboura and Bourguin** proved that Z_t admits a density under convexity and growth conditions for the terminal condition g and for the generator h when $h(x, y, z) = \tilde{f}(x, y) + \alpha z$ where α is constant.
- ↪ They have Gaussian estimates of this density when $h \in \mathcal{C}_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}_b^2(\mathbb{R})$.
- ↪ Using the fact that Z_t can be represented by the Clark-Ocone formula and after, taking the Malliavin derivative of Z_t .

We consider the following FBSDE:

$$\begin{cases} X_t &= X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s \\ Y_t &= g(X_T) + \int_t^T (\tilde{f}(s, X_s, Y_s) + h(Z_s)) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s. \end{cases}$$

Theorem (M., Possamaï, Réveillac)

Assume that Q) holds with some conditions which ensure that $DX_T > 0$ and $D^2X_T \geq 0$ and assume that $\tilde{f}_x, \tilde{f}_{xx}, \tilde{f}_{xy}, \tilde{f}_{yy} \geq 0$. Then, if there exists $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X_T \in A | X_t) > 0$, $g' \geq 0$, $g'' \geq 0$, $g''_A > 0$ and $h'' \geq 0$ then, for all $t \in (0, T]$, Z_t has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Densities existence: our contribution for Z

Assume now that there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$: $X_t = f(t, W_t)$.

Densities existence: our contribution for Z

Assume now that there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$: $X_t = f(t, W_t)$.

Under this assumption, for all $0 \leq r, s \leq t \leq T$: $D_r Y_t = D_s Y_t$ and $D_r Z_t = D_s Z_t$, \mathbb{P} -a.s..

Densities existence: our contribution for Z

Assume now that there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$: $X_t = f(t, W_t)$.

Under this assumption, for all $0 \leq r, s \leq t \leq T$: $D_r Y_t = D_s Y_t$ and $D_r Z_t = D_s Z_t$, \mathbb{P} -a.s..

To simplify assume that $\tilde{f} \equiv 0$ (the generator of the BSDE depends only on z through h).

Densities existence: our contribution for Z

Assume now that there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$: $X_t = f(t, W_t)$.

Under this assumption, for all $0 \leq r, s \leq t \leq T$: $D_r Y_t = D_s Y_t$ and $D_r Z_t = D_s Z_t$, \mathbb{P} -a.s..

To simplify assume that $\tilde{f} \equiv 0$ (the generator of the BSDE depends only on z through h).

Theorem (M., Possamaï, Réveillac)

Assume that Q) and conditions on coefficients hold. Assume that $h'' \geq 0$ and $(g \circ f)'' \geq 0$. Then, if there exists $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X_T \in A | X_t) > 0$ and $(g \circ f)''_A > 0$, then for all $t \in (0, T]$ Z_t has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Densities estimates: linear Feynman-Kac's formula

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v(t, x) + b(t, x) \cdot Dv(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\sigma \sigma^T(t, x) D^2 v(t, x)] = 0 \\ v(T, \cdot) = g(\cdot). \end{cases}$$

" \Leftrightarrow "

$$\begin{cases} dX_s^{t,x} = b(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds + \sigma(s, X_s^{t,x}) dW_s \\ X_t^{t,x} = x. \end{cases}$$

Densities estimates: linear Feynman-Kac's formula

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v(t, x) + b(t, x) \cdot Dv(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}.[\sigma \sigma^T(t, x) D^2 v(t, x)] = 0 \\ v(T, \cdot) = g(\cdot). \end{cases}$$

" \Leftrightarrow "

$$\begin{cases} dX_s^{t,x} = b(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds + \sigma(s, X_s^{t,x}) dW_s \\ X_t^{t,x} = x. \end{cases}$$

$$v(t, x) = \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{t,x})] = P_{t,T} g(x), \quad (v \in \mathcal{C}^{1,2})$$

Densities estimates: semi-linear Feynman-Kac's formula

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v(t, x) + b(t, x) \cdot Dv(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\sigma \sigma^T(t, x) D^2 v(t, x)] = h(t, \cdot, v, \sigma^T \cdot Dv) \\ v(T, \cdot) = g(\cdot). \end{cases}$$

" \Leftrightarrow "

$$\begin{cases} dX_s^{t,x} = b(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds + \sigma(s, X_s^{t,x}) dW_s; & X_t^{t,x} = x. \\ dY_s^{t,x} = h(t, X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}) ds - Z_s^{t,x} dW_s; & Y_T^{t,x} = g(X_T^{t,x}). \end{cases}$$

Densities estimates: semi-linear Feynman-Kac's formula

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v(t, x) + b(t, x) \cdot Dv(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\sigma \sigma^T(t, x) D^2 v(t, x)] = h(t, \cdot, v, \sigma^T \cdot Dv) \\ v(T, \cdot) = g(\cdot). \end{cases}$$

" \Leftrightarrow "

$$\begin{cases} dX_s^{t,x} = b(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds + \sigma(s, X_s^{t,x}) dW_s; & X_t^{t,x} = x. \\ dY_s^{t,x} = h(s, X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}) ds - Z_s^{t,x} dW_s; & Y_T^{t,x} = g(X_T^{t,x}). \end{cases}$$

$$v(t, x) = Y_t^{t,x}, \quad (v \in \mathcal{C}^{1,2})$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v(t, x) + b(t, x) \cdot Dv(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\sigma \sigma^T(t, x) D^2 v(t, x)] = h(t, \cdot, v, \sigma^T \cdot Dv) \\ v(T, \cdot) = g(\cdot). \end{cases}$$

" \Leftrightarrow "

$$\begin{cases} dX_s^{t,x} = b(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds + \sigma(s, X_s^{t,x}) dW_s; & X_t^{t,x} = x. \\ dY_s^{t,x} = h(s, X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}) ds - Z_s^{t,x} dW_s; & Y_T^{t,x} = g(X_T^{t,x}). \end{cases}$$

$$v(t, x) = Y_t^{t,x}, \quad (v \in \mathcal{C}^{1,2})$$

$$\text{Rk.: } h \equiv 0 \implies Y_s^{t,x} = \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{t,x}) | \mathcal{F}_s].$$

Densities estimates

Let $u(t, W_t) := v(t, X_t)$ where $X_t =: f(t, W_t)$. Then,
 $u(t, W_t) := Y_t$ and $u'(t, W_t) = v'(t, X_t)f'(t, W_t) := Z_t$.

Densities estimates

Let $u(t, W_t) := v(t, X_t)$ where $X_t =: f(t, W_t)$. Then,
 $u(t, W_t) := Y_t$ and $u'(t, W_t) = v'(t, X_t)f'(t, W_t) := Z_t$.

Let $\alpha_u^* := \inf\{\alpha > 0, u(t, x) = \mathcal{O}(x^\alpha)\}$.

Densities estimates

Let $u(t, W_t) := v(t, X_t)$ where $X_t =: f(t, W_t)$. Then,
 $u(t, W_t) := Y_t$ and $u'(t, W_t) = v'(t, X_t)f'(t, W_t) := Z_t$.

Let $\alpha_u^* := \inf\{\alpha > 0, u(t, x) = \mathcal{O}(x^\alpha)\}$.

P) Assume that $\alpha_{u'}^* \in (0, +\infty)$ and $\alpha_{u''}^* \in (0, +\infty)$.

Let $u(t, W_t) := v(t, X_t)$ where $X_t := f(t, W_t)$. Then,
 $u(t, W_t) := Y_t$ and $u'(t, W_t) = v'(t, X_t)f'(t, W_t) := Z_t$.

Let $\alpha_u^* := \inf\{\alpha > 0, u(t, x) = \mathcal{O}(x^\alpha)\}$.

P) Assume that $\alpha_{u'}^* \in (0, +\infty)$ and $\alpha_{u''}^* \in (0, +\infty)$.

Theorem (M., Possamaï, Réveillac)

Assume Q) and P) hold. Suppose that there exists $\bar{\delta} > 0$ such that $(g \circ f)'' \geq \bar{\delta} > 0$ and $h'' \geq 0$. Then, there exist $C > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $t \in (0, T]$ the probability distribution of Z_t has a law which admits a density ρ_{Z_t} such that for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[|Z_t - \mathbb{E}[Z_t]|]}{2tC(1 + |z|^{2\gamma})} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2t\delta^2}} \leq \rho_{Z_t}(z) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|Z_t - \mathbb{E}[Z_t]|]}{2t\delta^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2t\delta^2} \int_0^z -\mathbb{E}[Z_t] \frac{xdx}{1+|x|^{2\gamma}}}$$

Let $u(t, W_t) := v(t, X_t)$ where $X_t := f(t, W_t)$. Then,
 $u(t, W_t) := Y_t$ and $u'(t, W_t) = v'(t, X_t)f'(t, W_t) := Z_t$.

Let $\alpha_u^* := \inf\{\alpha > 0, u(t, x) = \mathcal{O}(x^\alpha)\}$.

P) Assume that $\alpha_{u'}^* \in (0, +\infty)$ and $\alpha_{u''}^* \in (0, +\infty)$.

Theorem (M., Possamaï, Réveillac)

Assume Q) and P) hold. Suppose that there exists $\bar{\delta} > 0$ such that $(g \circ f)'' \geq \bar{\delta} > 0$ and $h'' \geq 0$. Then, there exist $C > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $t \in (0, T]$ the probability distribution of Z_t has a law which admits a density ρ_{Z_t} such that for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[|Z_t - \mathbb{E}[Z_t]|]}{2tC(1 + |z|^{2\gamma})} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2t\delta^2}} \leq \rho_{Z_t}(z) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|Z_t - \mathbb{E}[Z_t]|]}{2t\delta^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2t\delta^2} \int_0^z -\mathbb{E}[Z_t] \frac{xdx}{1+|x|^{2\gamma}}}$$

A same result holds for Y_t (we just use the first derivative of u).