Euler-Poisson schemes for Lévy processes

A. Ferreiro-Castilla

School of Mathematical Sciences, QMUL

Motivation

- Lévy process. A (one dimensional) process with stationary and independent increments which has paths which are right continuous with left limits. (incl. Brownian motion with drift, compound Poisson processes, stable processes amongst many others.)
- A popular (and often criticised) model in mathematical finance for the evolution of a risky asset is

$$S_t := e^{X_t}, t \ge 0$$

where $\{X_t : t \ge 0\}$ is a Lévy process. (other applications: queuing theory, genetics, population models, random fluctuations with jump, also used in insurance risk models!)

• Of interest in several fields are quantities involving the first passage time (American options), the overshoot (debt at ruin in actuarial models), the undershoot (nature of the ruin event), the supremum (Barrier options), etc ...

(Computing these quantities accurately is notoriously hard for general Lévy processes.)

¹Broadie, M., Glasserman, P. and Kou, S. G. (1999) Connecting discrete and continuous path-dependent options. *Finance Stoch.* **3**, 55–82.

Motivation

- Lévy process. A (one dimensional) process with stationary and independent increments which has paths which are right continuous with left limits. (incl. Brownian motion with drift, compound Poisson processes, stable processes amongst many others.)
- A popular (and often criticised) model in mathematical finance for the evolution of a risky asset is

$$S_t := e^{X_t}, t \ge 0$$

where $\{X_t : t \ge 0\}$ is a Lévy process. (other applications: queuing theory, genetics, population models, random fluctuations with jump, also used in insurance risk models!)

- Of interest in several fields are quantities involving the first passage time (American options), the overshoot (debt at ruin in actuarial models), the undershoot (nature of the ruin event), the supremum (Barrier options), etc ... (Computing these quantities accurately is notoriously hard for general Lévy processes.)
- The first approach to compute a path quantity for a stochastic process is to produce a skeleton approximating the path of the stochastic process at an equally spaced grid points (Euler scheme), missing the possible excursions of the process. (Even for a BM, where the Euler scheme is exact computing the supremum in this way leads to a significant bias)¹

¹Broadie, M., Glasserman, P. and Kou, S. G. (1999) Connecting discrete and continuous path-dependent options. *Finance Stoch.* **3**, 55–82.

(Produces a path skeleton for Lévy processes which captures the value of the process and its supremum)

²Kuznetsov, A., Kyprianou, A. E., Pardo, J. C. and van Schaik, K. (2011) A Wiener-Hopf Monte Carlo simulation technique for Lévy processes. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **21**, 2171–2190.

(Produces a path skeleton for Lévy processes which captures the value of the process and its supremum)

• Consider a Poisson process with arrival rate *n*. Denote by τ_1, τ_2, \cdots the arrival times.



²Kuznetsov, A., Kyprianou, A. E., Pardo, J. C. and van Schaik, K. (2011) A Wiener-Hopf Monte Carlo simulation technique for Lévy processes. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **21**, 2171–2190.

(Produces a path skeleton for Lévy processes which captures the value of the process and its supremum)

• Consider a Poisson process with arrival rate *n*. Denote by τ_1, τ_2, \cdots the arrival times.



• Note that τ_n is the sum of *n* i.i.d exponential random variables, each with mean 1/n. We could therefore write

$$\tau_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{E}_i \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{g}(n, n),$$

where \mathcal{E}_i are i.i.d. exponential random variables with unit mean. Hence by SLLN

$$\tau_n \rightarrow 1$$
 almost surely.

²Kuznetsov, A., Kyprianou, A. E., Pardo, J. C. and van Schaik, K. (2011) A Wiener-Hopf Monte Carlo simulation technique for Lévy processes. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **21**, 2171–2190.

(Produces a path skeleton for Lévy processes which captures the value of the process and its supremum)

• Consider a Poisson process with arrival rate *n*. Denote by τ_1, τ_2, \cdots the arrival times.



• Note that τ_n is the sum of *n* i.i.d exponential random variables, each with mean 1/n. We could therefore write

$$\tau_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{E}_i \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{g}(n, n),$$

where \mathcal{E}_i are i.i.d. exponential random variables with unit mean. Hence by SLLN

 $\tau_n \rightarrow 1$ almost surely.

• Hence for a suitably large n, we have in distribution

$$(X_{\tau_n},\overline{X}_{\tau_n})\simeq (X_1,\overline{X}_1).$$

Since 1 is not a jump time $(X_{\tau_n}, \overline{X}_{\tau_n}) \to (X_1, \overline{X}_1)$ a.s.

²Kuznetsov, A., Kyprianou, A. E., Pardo, J. C. and van Schaik, K. (2011) A Wiener-Hopf Monte Carlo simulation technique for Lévy processes. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **21**, 2171–2190.

• A formulation of the Wiener-Hopf factorization states that

 $X_{\mathcal{E}(q)} \stackrel{d}{=} S^{(q)} + I^{(q)}$

where $S^{(q)}$ is independent of $I^{(q)}$ and they are respectively equal in distribution to $\overline{X}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}$ and $\underline{X}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}$; $\mathcal{E}(q)$ is an exponential distribution with mean q^{-1} and independent of X.

 $(\underline{X}_t = \inf_{s \le t} X_s \text{ and } \overline{X}_t = \sup_{s < t} X_s)$

• A formulation of the Wiener-Hopf factorization states that

 $X_{\mathcal{E}(q)} \stackrel{d}{=} S^{(q)} + I^{(q)} \qquad \left(\mathbb{E}[e^{i z X_{\mathcal{E}(q)}}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{i z \overline{X}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}}] \mathbb{E}[e^{i z \underline{X}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}}] \right)$

where $S^{(q)}$ is independent of $I^{(q)}$ and they are respectively equal in distribution to $\overline{X}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}$ and $\underline{X}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}$; $\mathcal{E}(q)$ is an exponential distribution with mean q^{-1} and independent of X.

 $(\underline{X}_t = \inf_{s \le t} X_s \text{ and } \overline{X}_t = \sup_{s < t} X_s)$

• A formulation of the Wiener-Hopf factorization states that

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathcal{E}(q)} \stackrel{d}{=} \boldsymbol{S}^{(q)} + \boldsymbol{I}^{(q)} \qquad \left(\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{j}\boldsymbol{z}\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}}] = \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{j}\boldsymbol{z}\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}}] \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{j}\boldsymbol{z}\underline{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}}] \right)$$

where $S^{(q)}$ is independent of $I^{(q)}$ and they are respectively equal in distribution to $\overline{X}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}$ and $\underline{X}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}$; $\mathcal{E}(q)$ is an exponential distribution with mean q^{-1} and independent of X.



• A formulation of the Wiener-Hopf factorization states that

 $\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathcal{E}(q)} \stackrel{d}{=} \boldsymbol{S}^{(q)} + \boldsymbol{I}^{(q)} \qquad \left(\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{z}\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}}] = \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{z}\boldsymbol{\overline{X}}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}}] \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{z}\boldsymbol{\underline{X}}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}}] \right)$

where $S^{(q)}$ is independent of $I^{(q)}$ and they are respectively equal in distribution to $\overline{X}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}$ and $\underline{X}_{\mathcal{E}(q)}$; $\mathcal{E}(q)$ is an exponential distribution with mean q^{-1} and independent of X.



Feasibility

 For many years only a few number of Lévy process with explicit Wiener-Hopf factors were known. Some of these included stable processes, subclasses of spectrally one sided processes and a handful more.

Feasibility

- For many years only a few number of Lévy process with explicit Wiener-Hopf factors were known. Some of these included stable processes, subclasses of spectrally one sided processes and a handful more.
- Very recent results have incorporated a new family to this class: Meromorphic Lévy processes.
 - Let X be a Lévy process, then it is characterized by

$$\log \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta X_t}] = -t\psi(\theta) = -t\left(iA\theta + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^2\theta^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 - e^{i\theta x} + i\theta x \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < 1\}}\right)\nu(\mathrm{d}x)\right),$$

where (A, Σ, ν) are called the Lévy triplet and ψ the Lévy exponent

$$\nu({0}) = 0, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 \wedge x^2) \nu(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty.$$

 A Lévy process X is said to belong to the meromorphic class (M-class) if its Lévy measure ν decomposes as ν⁺(x) = ν((x,∞)), ν⁻((-∞, -x)) for x > 0 and ν⁺, ν⁻ are discrete completely monotone functions.

$$f(x) = \int_{[0,\infty)} e^{-xz} \mu(\mathrm{d} z), \quad x > 0 \; .$$

Feasibility

- For many years only a few number of Lévy process with explicit Wiener-Hopf factors were known. Some of these included stable processes, subclasses of spectrally one sided processes and a handful more.
- Very recent results have incorporated a new family to this class: Meromorphic Lévy processes.
 - Let X be a Lévy process, then it is characterized by

$$\log \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta X_t}] = -t\psi(\theta) = -t\left(iA\theta + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^2\theta^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 - e^{i\theta x} + i\theta x \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < 1\}}\right)\nu(\mathrm{d}x)\right),$$

where (A, Σ, ν) are called the Lévy triplet and ψ the Lévy exponent

$$\nu({0}) = 0, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 \wedge x^2) \nu(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty.$$

 A Lévy process X is said to belong to the meromorphic class (M-class) if its Lévy measure ν decomposes as ν⁺(x) = ν((x,∞)), ν⁻((-∞, -x)) for x > 0 and ν⁺, ν⁻ are discrete completely monotone functions.

$$f(x) = \int_{[0,\infty)} e^{-xz} \mu(\mathrm{d} z), \quad x > 0 \; .$$

- The previous scheme has proved to be extremely flexible.
 - Many popular models in finance can be approximated by members of the M-class keeping the desired stylized features (VG, Meixner, CGMY, etc ...)
 - The original scheme can be improved and modified easily (multilevel Monte Carlo schemes, discrete schemes for Lévy driven SDEs, compute other quantities than the supremum, etc ...)

(Ask me later if you want more detail)

Heuristics behind Euler-Poisson schemes (I)

The Wiener-Hopf scheme should be a good scheme for path dependent quantities

³Doney, R. A. (2004) Stochastic bounds for Lévy processes. Ann. Probab. 32(2), 1545–1552.

Heuristics behind Euler-Poisson schemes (I)

The Wiener-Hopf scheme should be a good scheme for path dependent quantities

• ³Let $\{X_{\tau_k}\}_{k\geq 0}$, then the random variables

$$M_k := \sup_{\tau_k \le t < \tau_{k+1}} X_t \quad \text{and} \quad m_k := \inf_{\tau_k \le t < \tau_{k+1}} X_t$$

can be written as

$$M_k = S_0^{(n)} + Y_k^{(+)}$$
 and $m_k = I_0^{(n)} + Y_k^{(-)}$,

where $\{Y_k^{(+)}\}_{k\geq 0}$ and $\{Y_k^{(-)}\}_{k\geq 0}$ are random walks with the same distribution as $\{X_{\tau_k}\}_{k\geq 0}$ and independent of $S_0^{(n)}$ and $I_0^{(n)}$ respectively. Stochastic bound/sausage.

³Doney, R. A. (2004) Stochastic bounds for Lévy processes. Ann. Probab. 32(2), 1545–1552.

Heuristics behind Euler-Poisson schemes (II)

• ⁴ [Feynman-Kac] Under *some conditions* if u(t, x) is a classical solution of the PIDE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,x) = \mathcal{A}_X u(t,x) \quad \text{and} \quad u(0,x) = f(x) ,$$
 (1)

then $u(1 - t, x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_1)|X_t = x] := \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_{1-t})]$. The converse also holds with *stronger assumptions*.

⁴Carr, P. (1998) Randomization and the American Put. *Rev. Fin. Studies.* **11**(3), 597–626.

Heuristics behind Euler-Poisson schemes (II)

• ⁴ [Feynman-Kac] Under *some conditions* if u(t, x) is a classical solution of the PIDE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,x) = \mathcal{A}_{X}u(t,x) \quad \text{and} \quad u(0,x) = f(x) ,$$
 (1)

then $u(1 - t, x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_1)|X_t = x] := \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_{1-t})]$. The converse also holds with *stronger assumptions*.

• Consider the Laplace-Carlson transform, \mathcal{L} , of u(t, x), that is

$$\mathcal{L}[u](x) := \int_0^\infty \frac{n}{T} e^{-nt/T} u(t,x) \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^\infty \frac{n}{T} e^{-nt/T} \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_t)] \mathrm{d}t = \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_{\tau_1})] \,.$$

⁴Carr, P. (1998) Randomization and the American Put. *Rev. Fin. Studies.* **11**(3), 597–626.

Heuristics behind Euler-Poisson schemes (II)

• ⁴ [Feynman-Kac] Under *some conditions* if u(t, x) is a classical solution of the PIDE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,x) = \mathcal{A}_X u(t,x) \quad \text{and} \quad u(0,x) = f(x) ,$$
 (1)

then $u(1 - t, x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_1)|X_t = x] := \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_{1-t})]$. The converse also holds with *stronger assumptions*.

• Consider the Laplace-Carlson transform, \mathcal{L} , of u(t, x), that is

$$\mathcal{L}[u](x) := \int_0^\infty \frac{n}{T} e^{-nt/T} u(t, x) \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^\infty \frac{n}{T} e^{-nt/T} \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_t)] \mathrm{d}t = \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_{\tau_1})] \,.$$

Then

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}[u](x)-f(x)}{T/n}=\mathcal{A}_Y\mathcal{L}[u](x).$$

⁴Carr, P. (1998) Randomization and the American Put. *Rev. Fin. Studies.* **11**(3), 597–626.

• ⁴ [Feynman-Kac] Under *some conditions* if u(t, x) is a classical solution of the PIDE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,x) = \mathcal{A}_{X}u(t,x) \quad \text{and} \quad u(0,x) = f(x) ,$$
 (1)

then $u(1 - t, x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_1)|X_t = x] := \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_{1-t})]$. The converse also holds with *stronger assumptions*.

• Consider the Laplace-Carlson transform, \mathcal{L} , of u(t, x), that is

$$\mathcal{L}[u](x) := \int_0^\infty \frac{n}{T} e^{-nt/T} u(t, x) \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^\infty \frac{n}{T} e^{-nt/T} \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_t)] \mathrm{d}t = \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_{\tau_1})] \,.$$

Then

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}[u](x)-f(x)}{T/n}=\mathcal{A}_Y\mathcal{L}[u](x).$$

• Consider the discretization of (1) given by

$$\frac{u_i(x)-u_{i-1}(x)}{T/n}=\mathcal{A}_X u_i(x) ,$$

for i = 1, ..., n with $u_0(x) = f(x)$. Then, for all i = 1, ..., n, $u_i(x) = \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_{\tau_i})]$.

⁴Carr, P. (1998) Randomization and the American Put. *Rev. Fin. Studies.* **11**(3), 597–626.

$$V_r^{(q)} := V_{r-1}^{(q)} + (S_j^{(q)} + l_j^{(q)})$$
 and $J_r^{(q)} := J_{r-1}^{(q)} \bigvee (V_{r-1}^{(q)} + S_r^{(q)})$



$$V_r^{(q)} := V_{r-1}^{(q)} + (S_j^{(q)} + l_j^{(q)})$$
 and $J_r^{(q)} := J_{r-1}^{(q)} \bigvee (V_{r-1}^{(q)} + S_r^{(q)})$

• First passage time $\frac{t}{n}\kappa_u^{(n)} := \frac{t}{n}\{k \in \{0, \dots, n\} | J_k^{(n/t)} > u\}$



$$V_r^{(q)} := V_{r-1}^{(q)} + (S_j^{(q)} + l_j^{(q)})$$
 and $J_r^{(q)} := J_{r-1}^{(q)} \bigvee (V_{r-1}^{(q)} + S_r^{(q)})$

- First passage time $\frac{t}{n}\kappa_u^{(n)} := \frac{t}{n}\{k \in \{0, \dots, n\} | J_k^{(n/t)} > u\}$
- Overshoot $V_{\kappa_{II}^{(n)}}^{(n/t)} u$



$$V_r^{(q)} := V_{r-1}^{(q)} + (S_j^{(q)} + l_j^{(q)})$$
 and $J_r^{(q)} := J_{r-1}^{(q)} \bigvee (V_{r-1}^{(q)} + S_r^{(q)})$

- First passage time $\frac{t}{n}\kappa_u^{(n)} := \frac{t}{n}\{k \in \{0, \dots, n\} | J_k^{(n/t)} > u\}$
- Overshoot $V_{\kappa_{n}^{(n)}}^{(n/t)} u$
- Undershoot $u V_{\kappa_u^{(n)}-1}^{(n/t)}$



$$V_r^{(q)} := V_{r-1}^{(q)} + (S_j^{(q)} + I_j^{(q)})$$
 and $J_r^{(q)} := J_{r-1}^{(q)} \bigvee (V_{r-1}^{(q)} + S_r^{(q)})$

- First passage time $\frac{t}{n}\kappa_u^{(n)} := \frac{t}{n} \{ k \in \{0, \dots, n\} | J_k^{(n/t)} > u \}$
- Overshoot $V_{\kappa_{i}}^{(n/t)} u$
- Undershoot $u V_{\kappa_{i}^{(n)}-1}^{(n/t)}$
- Last maximum before the crossing $u J_{\kappa^{(n)}-1}^{(n/t)}$



Under the previous notation we have the following result:

Theorem

Let X be any Lévy process. Fix some t > 0 and u > 0. Set for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\kappa_u^{(n)} := \inf\{k \in \{0, \dots, n\} \mid J_k^{(n/t)} > u\}$$

(where as usual we understand $\inf \emptyset = \infty$). Then we have as $n \to \infty$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{t}{n} (\kappa_u^{(n)} \wedge n), V_{\kappa_u^{(n)} \wedge n}^{(n/t)} - u, u - V_{(\kappa_u^{(n)} - 1) \wedge n}^{(n/t)}, u - J_{(\kappa_u^{(n)} - 1) \wedge n}^{(n/t)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \left(\tau_u \wedge t, X_{\tau_u \wedge t} - u, u - X_{(\tau_u \wedge t) -}, u - \overline{X}_{(\tau_u \wedge t) -} \right) .$$

Under the previous notation we have the following result:

Theorem

Let X be any Lévy process. Fix some t > 0 and u > 0. Set for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\kappa_u^{(n)} := \inf\{k \in \{0, \dots, n\} \mid J_k^{(n/t)} > u\}$$

(where as usual we understand $\inf \emptyset = \infty$). Then we have as $n \to \infty$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{t}{n} (\kappa_u^{(n)} \wedge n), V_{\kappa_u^{(n)} \wedge n}^{(n/t)} - u, u - V_{(\kappa_u^{(n)} - 1) \wedge n}^{(n/t)}, u - J_{(\kappa_u^{(n)} - 1) \wedge n}^{(n/t)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \left(\tau_u \wedge t, X_{\tau_u \wedge t} - u, u - X_{(\tau_u \wedge t) -}, u - \overline{X}_{(\tau_u \wedge t) -} \right) .$$

Theorem

Using the same notation, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{t}{n}(\kappa_{u}^{(n)}\wedge n)-\tau\wedge t\right)^{2}\right]\leq\frac{2t^{2}}{n}$$

Let $Y := \{Y_t\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ be the solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$Y_t = y_0 + \int_0^t a(Y_{s-}) dX_s \qquad t \in [0, T],$$

Let $Y := \{Y_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ be the solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$Y_t = y_0 + \int_0^t a(Y_{s-}) \mathrm{d}X_s \qquad t \in [0, T] ,$$

The Euler-Poisson scheme is then given by the discrete Markov chain $\widetilde{Y} := {\widetilde{Y}_{\tau_i}}_{i \ge 0}$ defined recursively by

$$\widetilde{Y}_{\tau_i} := \widetilde{Y}_{\tau_{i-1}} + a(\widetilde{Y}_{\tau_{i-1}}) \Delta X_{\mathcal{E}_i(n/T)} \quad \text{for} \quad i \ge 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{Y}_0 := y_0 \; ,$$

where $\Delta X_{\mathcal{E}_i} := X_{\mathcal{E}_i(n/T)} - X_{\mathcal{E}_{i-1}(n/T)} \stackrel{d}{=} X_{\mathcal{E}(n/T)}$ and $\tau_i := \sum_{j=0}^i \mathcal{E}_j(n/T)$.

Let $Y := \{Y_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ be the solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$Y_t = y_0 + \int_0^t a(Y_{s-}) \mathrm{d}X_s \qquad t \in [0, T] ,$$

The Euler-Poisson scheme is then given by the discrete Markov chain $\widetilde{Y} := {\widetilde{Y}_{\tau_i}}_{i \ge 0}$ defined recursively by

$$\widetilde{Y}_{\tau_i} := \widetilde{Y}_{\tau_{i-1}} + a(\widetilde{Y}_{\tau_{i-1}}) \Delta X_{\mathcal{E}_i(n/T)} \quad \text{for} \quad i \ge 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{Y}_0 := y_0 \; ,$$

where $\Delta X_{\mathcal{E}_i} := X_{\mathcal{E}_i(n/T)} - X_{\mathcal{E}_{i-1}(n/T)} \stackrel{d}{=} X_{\mathcal{E}(n/T)}$ and $\tau_i := \sum_{j=0}^i \mathcal{E}_j(n/T)$.

In this context we only assume knowledge of the resolvent of the Lévy process.

Let $Y := \{Y_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ be the solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$Y_t = y_0 + \int_0^t a(Y_{s-}) dX_s \qquad t \in [0, T],$$

The Euler-Poisson scheme is then given by the discrete Markov chain $\widetilde{Y} := {\widetilde{Y}_{\tau_i}}_{i \ge 0}$ defined recursively by

$$\widetilde{Y}_{\tau_i} := \widetilde{Y}_{\tau_{i-1}} + a(\widetilde{Y}_{\tau_{i-1}}) \Delta X_{\mathcal{E}_i(n/T)} \quad \text{for} \quad i \ge 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{Y}_0 := y_0 \;,$$

where $\Delta X_{\mathcal{E}_i} := X_{\mathcal{E}_i(n/T)} - X_{\mathcal{E}_{i-1}(n/T)} \stackrel{d}{=} X_{\mathcal{E}(n/T)}$ and $\tau_i := \sum_{j=0}^i \mathcal{E}_j(n/T)$.

- In this context we only assume knowledge of the resolvent of the Lévy process.
- The Wiener-Hopf factorization gives way more information than the resolvent.
 - It is not trivial how to incorporate the information of the supremum.

Let $Y := \{Y_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ be the solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$Y_t = y_0 + \int_0^t a(Y_{s-}) \mathrm{d}X_s \qquad t \in [0, T] \; ,$$

The Euler-Poisson scheme is then given by the discrete Markov chain $\widetilde{Y} := {\widetilde{Y}_{\tau_i}}_{i \ge 0}$ defined recursively by

$$\widetilde{Y}_{\tau_i} := \widetilde{Y}_{\tau_{i-1}} + a(\widetilde{Y}_{\tau_{i-1}}) \Delta X_{\mathcal{E}_i(n/T)} \quad \text{for} \quad i \ge 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{Y}_0 := y_0 \;,$$

where $\Delta X_{\mathcal{E}_i} := X_{\mathcal{E}_i(n/T)} - X_{\mathcal{E}_{i-1}(n/T)} \stackrel{d}{=} X_{\mathcal{E}(n/T)}$ and $\tau_i := \sum_{j=0}^i \mathcal{E}_j(n/T)$.

- In this context we only assume knowledge of the resolvent of the Lévy process.
- The Wiener-Hopf factorization gives way more information than the resolvent.
 - It is not trivial how to incorporate the information of the supremum.

$$Z_t = y_0 + \int_0^t a(Z_{s-}, \overline{X}_{s-}) \mathrm{d}X_s \qquad \text{or} \qquad Z_t = y_0 + \int_0^t a(Z_{s-}, \underline{X}_{s-}) \mathrm{d}X_s \;.$$

Chemical reactions, stochastic population models, ...

Theorem

Let $a := \mathbb{R}^{d_Y} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_Y} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{d_X}$ be a measurable function such that

$$|a(x)-a(x')|\leq k|x-x'|$$
 and $|a(y_0)|\leq k$

for $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d_Y}$ and $k' \in \mathbb{R}^+$. And the driving process X (Lévy–Khinchine) satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_X}} |x|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d} x) \le k^2 \;, \qquad |\Sigma| \le k \;, \qquad |A| \le k \qquad \text{and} \qquad |y_0| \le k$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y_T - \widetilde{Y}_{\tau_n}|^2] \leq K n^{-1/2} ,$$

where K is a positive constant depending on k and T only.

Theorem

Let $a := \mathbb{R}^{d_Y} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_Y} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{d_\chi}$ be a measurable function such that

$$|a(x)-a(x')| \le k|x-x'|$$
 and $|a(y_0)| \le k$

for $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d_Y}$ and $k' \in \mathbb{R}^+$. And the driving process X (Lévy–Khinchine) satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_{\chi}}} |x|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d} x) \leq k^2 \;, \qquad |\Sigma| \leq k \;, \qquad |A| \leq k \qquad \text{and} \qquad |y_0| \leq k$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y_T - \widetilde{Y}_{\tau_n}|^2] \leq K n^{-1/2} ,$$

where K is a positive constant depending on k and T only.

The key idea of the proof is to split the error into

• Discretization:

• Hitting:

Theorem

Let $a := \mathbb{R}^{d_Y} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_Y} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{d_\chi}$ be a measurable function such that

$$|a(x)-a(x')|\leq k|x-x'|$$
 and $|a(y_0)|\leq k$

for $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d_Y}$ and $k' \in \mathbb{R}^+$. And the driving process X (Lévy–Khinchine) satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_X}} |x|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d} x) \leq k^2 \;, \qquad |\Sigma| \leq k \;, \qquad |A| \leq k \qquad \text{and} \qquad |y_0| \leq k$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y_T - \widetilde{Y}_{\tau_n}|^2] \leq K n^{-1/2} ,$$

where K is a positive constant depending on k and T only.

The key idea of the proof is to split the error into

- Discretization: what happens in [0, T]
 - Conditioned on the number of arrivals of a Poisson process up to *T* these are distributed as uniform deviates.
- Hitting:

Theorem

Let $a := \mathbb{R}^{d_Y} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_Y} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{d_X}$ be a measurable function such that

$$|a(x)-a(x')|\leq k|x-x'|$$
 and $|a(y_0)|\leq k$

for $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d_Y}$ and $k' \in \mathbb{R}^+$. And the driving process X (Lévy–Khinchine) satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_X}} |x|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d} x) \le k^2 \;, \qquad |\Sigma| \le k \;, \qquad |A| \le k \qquad \text{and} \qquad |y_0| \le k$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y_T - \widetilde{Y}_{\tau_n}|^2] \leq K n^{-1/2} ,$$

where K is a positive constant depending on k and T only.

The key idea of the proof is to split the error into

- Discretization: what happens in [0, T]
 - Conditioned on the number of arrivals of a Poisson process up to *T* these are distributed as uniform deviates.
- Hitting: what happens in $[T, \tau_n]$
 - Centered moments of a Gamma distribution: $\tau_n \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{g}(n, n/t)$

Some applications (III): Fast computation of derivatives Pricing barrier options

E.g. pricing a Barrier option by a Monte Carlo method:

 $\mathbb{E}[f(X_t)\mathbb{I}_{\{\overline{X}_t > b\}}] =: \mathbb{E}[F(X_t, \overline{X}_t)] \approx \mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau_n}, \overline{X}_{\tau_n})] := \mathbb{E}[F^n]$

One of the current hot topics in Monte Carlo methods are multilevel Monte Carlo schemes, which increments the speed of the estimators.

Some applications (III): Fast computation of derivatives Pricing barrier options

E.g. pricing a Barrier option by a Monte Carlo method:

 $\mathbb{E}[f(X_t)\mathbb{I}_{\{\overline{X}_t > b\}}] =: \mathbb{E}[F(X_t, \overline{X}_t)] \approx \mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau_n}, \overline{X}_{\tau_n})] := \mathbb{E}[F^n]$

One of the current hot topics in Monte Carlo methods are multilevel Monte Carlo schemes, which increments the speed of the estimators.

• Plain Monte Carlo estimator:

$$\widehat{F}_{MC}^{n,M} := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} F^{n,(i)}.$$

$$e(\widehat{F}_{MC}^{n,M})^2 = \frac{1}{M} \mathbb{V}(F^n) + \left(\mathbb{E}[F^n - F(X_t, \overline{X}_t)] \right)^2.$$

Some applications (III): Fast computation of derivatives Pricing barrier options

E.g. pricing a Barrier option by a Monte Carlo method:

 $\mathbb{E}[f(X_t)\mathbb{I}_{\{\overline{X}_t > b\}}] =: \mathbb{E}[F(X_t, \overline{X}_t)] \approx \mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau_n}, \overline{X}_{\tau_n})] := \mathbb{E}[F^n]$

One of the current hot topics in Monte Carlo methods are multilevel Monte Carlo schemes, which increments the speed of the estimators.

• Plain Monte Carlo estimator:

$$\widehat{F}_{MC}^{n,M} := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} F^{n,(i)}.$$

$$e(\widehat{F}_{MC}^{n,M})^2 = \frac{1}{M} \mathbb{V}(F^n) + \left(\mathbb{E}[F^n - F(X_t, \overline{X}_t)]\right)^2.$$

• Multilevel Monte Carlo estimator: $(M_L = n)$

$$\begin{split} \widehat{F}_{ML}^{n_0,L,\{M_\ell\}} &:= \quad \frac{1}{M_0} \sum_{i=1}^{M_0} F^{n_0,(i)} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{1}{M_\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{M_\ell} (F^{n_\ell,(i)} - F^{n_{\ell-1},(i)}). \\ e(\widehat{F}_{ML}^{n_0,L,\{M_\ell\}})^2 &= \quad \frac{1}{M_0} \mathbb{V}(F^{n_0}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{1}{M_\ell} \mathbb{V}(F^{n_\ell} - F^{n_{\ell-1}}) + \left(\mathbb{E}[F^n - F(X_1,\overline{X}_1)]\right)^2. \end{split}$$

• In the WHMC method how do we introduce "levels"?

- In the WHMC method how do we introduce "levels"?
- It is **crucial** to have a Poisson process for the time randomisations on **all** levels! How do we sample on two consecutive levels?

- In the WHMC method how do we introduce "levels"?
- It is **crucial** to have a Poisson process for the time randomisations on **all** levels! How do we sample on two consecutive levels?
- Suppose the "level ℓ " grid is based on a Poisson process of rate n_{ℓ} . Then by tossing a coin (thinning) and rejecting arrivals with probability 1/2 we end up with a Poisson process of rate $n_{\ell-1}$: our new coarser "level $\ell 1$ " Poisson grid.

(Not a new idea! Also used by [Glasserman, Merener, 2003], [Giles, Xia, 2012], ...)



Theorem

Assume $\exists \alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2} \min\{\beta, 1\}$ such that

(i)
$$|\mathbb{E}[F^{n_{\ell}} - F(X_t, \overline{X}_t)]| \lesssim n_{\ell}^{-\alpha}$$

(ii) $\mathbb{V}[F^{n_{\ell}} - F^{n_{\ell-1}}] \lesssim n_{\ell}^{-\beta}$
(iii) $\mathbb{E}[C_{n_{\ell}}] \lesssim n_{\ell}$.
Then $\forall u \in \mathbb{N}$ $\exists t \text{ and } \{M_{\ell}\}^{L}$, et $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{L}\left(\widehat{F}^{n_0;L_1\{M_{\ell}\}}\right)\right] < u$ or

Then, $\forall \nu \in \mathbb{N} \; \exists L \text{ and } \{M_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^L \; \text{s.t.} \; \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{C}\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathrm{ML}}^{n_0,L,\{M_\ell\}}\right)\right] \lesssim \nu \; \text{ and } L^2 \; ext{error}$

$$\mathsf{R.M.S.E.} = e\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathsf{ML}}^{n_0,L,\{M_\ell\}}\right) \lesssim \begin{cases} \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } \beta > 1 \,, \\ \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\log^2\nu & \text{if } \beta = 1 \,, \\ \nu^{-\frac{1}{2+(1-\beta)/\alpha}} & \text{if } \beta < 1 \,. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

Assume $\exists \alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha \ge \frac{1}{2} \min\{\beta, 1\}$ such that

(i)
$$|\mathbb{E}[F^{n_{\ell}} - F(X_{t}, \overline{X}_{t})]| \lesssim n_{\ell}^{-\alpha}$$

(ii) $\mathbb{V}[F^{n_{\ell}} - F^{n_{\ell-1}}] \lesssim n_{\ell}^{-\beta}$
(iii) $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_{n_{\ell}}] \lesssim n_{\ell}$.
Then, $\forall \nu \in \mathbb{N} \exists L$ and $\{M_{\ell}\}_{\ell=0}^{L}$ s.t. $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{C}\left(\widehat{F}_{ML}^{n_{0},L,\{M_{\ell}\}}\right)\right] \lesssim \nu$ and L^{2} error

$$\mathsf{R.M.S.E.} = e\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathrm{ML}}^{n_0,L,\{M_\ell\}}\right) \lesssim \begin{cases} \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } \beta > 1, \\ \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\log^2\nu & \text{if } \beta = 1, \\ \nu^{-\frac{1}{2+(1-\beta)/\alpha}} & \text{if } \beta < 1. \end{cases}$$

• An important feature of multilevel schemes is that they give a regime of optimal performance.

Theorem

Assume $\exists \alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2} \min\{\beta, 1\}$ such that

(i)
$$|\mathbb{E}[F^{n_{\ell}} - F(X_t, \overline{X}_t)]| \lesssim n_{\ell}^{-\alpha}$$

(ii)
$$\mathbb{V}[F^{n_{\ell}} - F^{n_{\ell-1}}] \lesssim n_{\ell}^{-1}$$

(iii)
$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_{n_\ell}] \lesssim n_\ell$$

Then, $\forall \nu \in \mathbb{N} \exists L \text{ and } \{M_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^L \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{C}\left(\widehat{F}_{ML}^{n_0,L,\{M_\ell\}}\right)\right] \lesssim \nu \text{ and } L^2 \text{ error}$

$$\mathsf{R.M.S.E.} = \boldsymbol{e}\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathsf{ML}}^{n_0,L,\{M_\ell\}}\right) \lesssim \begin{cases} \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } \beta > 1 \,, \\ \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\log^2\nu & \text{if } \beta = 1 \,, \\ \nu^{-\frac{1}{2+(1-\beta)/\alpha}} & \text{if } \beta < 1 \,. \end{cases}$$

- An important feature of multilevel schemes is that they give a regime of optimal performance.
- The M-class satisfies Assumption (iii).
- We can prove that $\alpha = 1/4 \& \beta = 1/2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\nu^{-\frac{1}{4}})$.

Limited works: [Jacod et al, 2005] (less general – functionals of X_t only, smooth F);
 [Dereich et al, 2011], [Dereich, 2011] (more general – Lévy driven SDEs).

- Limited works: [Jacod et al, 2005] (less general functionals of X_t only, smooth F);
 [Dereich et al, 2011], [Dereich, 2011] (more general Lévy driven SDEs).
- Rates in these papers depend on Blumenthal-Getoor index ("jump activity"):

$$ho:=\inf\left\{eta>0:\int_{(-1,1)}|x|^{eta}
u(\mathrm{d} x)<\infty
ight\}\in [0,2].$$

- Limited works: [Jacod et al, 2005] (less general functionals of X_t only, smooth F);
 [Dereich et al, 2011], [Dereich, 2011] (more general Lévy driven SDEs).
- Rates in these papers depend on Blumenthal-Getoor index ("jump activity"):

$$ho:=\inf\left\{eta>0:\int_{(-1,1)}|x|^{eta}
u(\mathrm{d} x)<\infty
ight\}\in[0,2].$$



- Limited works: [Jacod et al, 2005] (less general functionals of X_t only, smooth F);
 [Dereich et al, 2011], [Dereich, 2011] (more general Lévy driven SDEs).
- Rates in these papers depend on Blumenthal-Getoor index ("jump activity"):

$$ho:=\inf\left\{eta>0:\int_{(-1,1)}|x|^{eta}
u(\mathrm{d} x)<\infty
ight\}\in[0,2].$$



- Limited works: [Jacod et al, 2005] (less general functionals of X_t only, smooth F);
 [Dereich et al, 2011], [Dereich, 2011] (more general Lévy driven SDEs).
- Rates in these papers depend on Blumenthal-Getoor index ("jump activity"):

$$ho:=\inf\left\{eta>0:\int_{(-1,1)}|x|^{eta}
u(\mathrm{d} x)<\infty
ight\}\in[0,2].$$



- Limited works: [Jacod et al, 2005] (less general functionals of X_t only, smooth F);
 [Dereich et al, 2011], [Dereich, 2011] (more general Lévy driven SDEs).
- Rates in these papers depend on Blumenthal-Getoor index ("jump activity"):

$$ho:=\inf\left\{eta>0:\int_{(-1,1)}|x|^{eta}
u(\mathrm{d} x)<\infty
ight\}\in[0,2].$$



- Limited works: [Jacod et al, 2005] (less general functionals of X_t only, smooth F);
 [Dereich et al, 2011], [Dereich, 2011] (more general Lévy driven SDEs).
- Rates in these papers depend on Blumenthal-Getoor index ("jump activity"):

$$ho:=\inf\left\{eta>0:\int_{(-1,1)}|x|^{eta}
u(\mathrm{d} x)<\infty
ight\}\in[0,2].$$



Talk based in the papers:

- F-C, A., Kyprianou, A.E., Scheichl, R. and Suryanarayana, G. (2014) Multilevel Monte Carlo simulation for Lévy processes based on the Wiener-Hopf factorization. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, 124 (2), 985–1010.
- F-C, A., Kyprianou, A.E. and Scheichl, R. (2013) Euler-Poisson scheme for Lévy driven SDEs. *Preprint*
- F-C, A., and van Schaik, K. (2014) Applying the Wiener-Hopf Monte Carlo simulation technique for Lévy processes to path functionals. *J. Appl. Probab.* (To appear)

Thanks!

Feasibility ctd.

Equivalent definition:

- (i) The characteristic exponent $\Psi(z)$ is a meromorphic function which has poles at points $\{-i\rho_n, i\hat{\rho}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$, where ρ_n and $\hat{\rho}_n$ are positive real numbers.
- (ii) For q ≥ 0 function q + Ψ(z) has roots at points {-iζ_n, iζ_n}_{n≥1} where ζ_n and ζ̂_n are nonnegative real numbers (strictly positive if q > 0). We will write ζ_n(q), ζ̂_n(q) if we need to stress the dependence on q.
- (iii) The roots and poles of $q + \Psi(iz)$ satisfy the following interlacing condition

$$... - \rho_2 < -\zeta_2 < -\rho_1 < -\zeta_1 < 0 < \hat{\zeta}_1 < \hat{\rho}_1 < \hat{\zeta}_2 < \hat{\rho}_2 < ...$$

(iv) The Wiener-Hopf factors are expressed as convergent infinite products,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-z\overline{X}_{\mathcal{E}_{q}}}\right] = \prod_{n \ge 1} \frac{1 + \frac{z}{\rho_{n}}}{1 + \frac{z}{\zeta_{n}}} \quad \mathbb{E}\left[e^{z\underline{X}_{\mathcal{E}_{q}}}\right] = \prod_{n \ge 1} \frac{1 + \frac{z}{\hat{\rho}_{n}}}{1 + \frac{z}{\zeta_{n}}}$$

Feasibility ctd.

Equivalent definition:

- (i) The characteristic exponent $\Psi(z)$ is a meromorphic function which has poles at points $\{-i\rho_n, i\hat{\rho}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$, where ρ_n and $\hat{\rho}_n$ are positive real numbers.
- (ii) For q ≥ 0 function q + Ψ(z) has roots at points {-iζ_n, iζ_n}_{n≥1} where ζ_n and ζ̂_n are nonnegative real numbers (strictly positive if q > 0). We will write ζ_n(q), ζ̂_n(q) if we need to stress the dependence on q.
- (iii) The roots and poles of $q + \Psi(iz)$ satisfy the following interlacing condition

... -
$$\rho_2 < -\zeta_2 < -\rho_1 < -\zeta_1 < \mathbf{0} < \hat{\zeta}_1 < \hat{\rho}_1 < \hat{\zeta}_2 < \hat{\rho}_2 < ...$$

(iv) The Wiener-Hopf factors are expressed as convergent infinite products,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-z\overline{X}_{\mathcal{E}_{q}}}\right] = \prod_{n\geq 1} \frac{1+\frac{z}{\rho_{n}}}{1+\frac{z}{\zeta_{n}}} \quad \mathbb{E}\left[e^{z\underline{X}_{\mathcal{E}_{q}}}\right] = \prod_{n\geq 1} \frac{1+\frac{z}{\rho_{n}}}{1+\frac{z}{\zeta_{n}}}.$$

● For x ≥ 0

$$\mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_{\mathcal{E}_q} \in \mathsf{d}x) = \mathsf{a}_0(\rho,\zeta)\delta_0(\mathsf{d}x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{a}_n(\rho,\zeta)\zeta_n \mathrm{e}^{-\zeta_n x} \mathsf{d}x$$

Here

$$\mathbf{a}_{0}(\rho,\zeta) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\zeta_{k}}{\rho_{k}}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{n}(\rho,\zeta) = \left(1 - \frac{\zeta_{n}}{\rho_{n}}\right) \prod_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ k \neq n}} \frac{1 - \frac{\zeta_{n}}{\rho_{k}}}{1 - \frac{\zeta_{n}}{\zeta_{k}}}$$

Feasibility ctd.

Kuznetsov's β-family

• The corresponding Lévy measure $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ has density

$$\pi(x) = c_1 \frac{e^{-\alpha_1 \beta_1 x}}{(1 - e^{-\beta_1 x})^{\lambda_1}} \mathbf{1}_{\{x > 0\}} + c_2 \frac{e^{\alpha_2 \beta_2 x}}{(1 - e^{\beta_2 x})^{\lambda_2}} \mathbf{1}_{\{x < 0\}}.$$

The β -class of Lévy processes includes another recently introduced family of Lévy processes known as Lamperti-stable processes.