

Resultants modulo p

Laurent Busé, **Carlos D'Andrea**, Martín Sombra



Disclaimer

Disclaimer

Last summer you may have heard:

$$\text{val}_p \left(\text{Res}(f_1, \dots, f_n) \right) \geq d(V_p)$$

(D-Sombra 2016)

Disclaimer

Last summer you may have heard:

$$\text{val}_p (\text{Res}(f_1, \dots, f_n)) \geq d(V_p)$$

(**D**-Sombra 2016)

Today:

$$\text{val}_p (\text{Res}(f_1, \dots, f_n)) \geq e(V_p)$$

(Busé-**D**-Sombra 2017)

The setting

The setting

- $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$
homogeneous of degrees d_1, \dots, d_n

The setting

- $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$
homogeneous of degrees d_1, \dots, d_n
- $\text{Res}_{d_1, \dots, d_n}(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ the
Macaulay or *dense resultant*

Resultants modulo p

Resultants modulo p

$$\text{Res}_{d_1, \dots, d_n}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_N^{a_N}$$

Resultants modulo p

$$\text{Res}_{d_1, \dots, d_n}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_N^{a_N}$$

The system

$f_1 \bmod p = \dots = f_n \bmod p = 0$ has a
solution in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}$

Resultants modulo p

$$\text{Res}_{d_1, \dots, d_n}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_N^{a_N}$$

The system

$f_1 \bmod p = \dots = f_n \bmod p = 0$ has a

solution in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}$

$$\iff p \neq p_i, i = 1, \dots, N$$

But what is $p = p_i$?

But what is $p = p_i$?

Then we know that

$$\emptyset \neq V_{p_i}(f_1, \dots, f_n) \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_{p_i}}^{n-1}$$

But what is $p = p_i$?

Then we know that

$$\emptyset \neq V_{p_i}(f_1, \dots, f_n) \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_{p_i}}^{n-1}$$



Can we say something about a_i ?

But what is $p = p_i$?

Then we know that

$$\emptyset \neq V_{p_i}(f_1, \dots, f_n) \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_{p_i}}^{n-1}$$



Can we say something about a_i ?

Is geometry related with arithmetics?

$$n = 2$$

$$\text{Res}(f_1, f_2) = 0 \pmod{p} \iff \deg(\gcd(f_1 \pmod{p}, f_2 \pmod{p})) > 0$$

$$n = 2$$

$$\text{Res}(f_1, f_2) = 0 \pmod{p} \iff \deg(\gcd(f_1 \pmod{p}, f_2 \pmod{p})) > 0$$

$$p^{\deg(\gcd(f_1 \pmod{p}, f_2 \pmod{p}))} \mid \text{Res}(f_1, f_2)$$

(Gomez-Gutierrez-Ibeas-Sevilla 2009)

In General...

In General...

- A a factorial ring

In General...

- A a factorial ring
- $p \in A$ an irreducible element

In General...

- A a factorial ring
- $p \in A$ an irreducible element
- $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$
homogeneous of degrees d_1, \dots, d_n

In General...

- A a factorial ring
- $p \in A$ an irreducible element
- $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$
homogeneous of degrees d_1, \dots, d_n
- $\text{Res}_{d_1, \dots, d_n}(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A$ the
homogeneous or dense resultant

Numerical invariants

Numerical invariants

For the ideal $I_p := \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle \subset$
 $R_p := (K(A)/p)[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

Numerical invariants

For the ideal $I_p := \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle \subset$
 $R_p := (K(A)/p)[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

- **degree** $d_p = \dim (R_p/I_p)_t$ for
 $t \gg 0$

Numerical invariants

For the ideal $I_p := \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle \subset$
 $R_p := (K(A)/p)[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

- **degree** $d_p = \dim (R_p/I_p)_t$ for
 $t \gg 0$
- **Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity**
 $e_p := \min\{d_p(J_p), J_p \subset I_p\}$, J_p
generated by $n - 1$ elements

Known

(Chardin, Teissier, Rémond,...)

If $\dim (V_p(f_1, \dots, f_n)) \leq 0$

Known

(Chardin, Teissier, Rémond,...)

If $\dim (V_p(f_1, \dots, f_n)) \leq 0$

$$\text{val}_p (\text{Res}(f_1, \dots, f_n)) \geq d_p$$

Our result

(Busé-D-Sombra 2017)

If $\dim (V_p(f_1, \dots, f_n)) \leq 0$

Our result

(Busé-D-Sombra 2017)

If $\dim (V_p(f_1, \dots, f_n)) \leq 0$

$$\text{val}_p (\text{Res}(f_1, \dots, f_n)) \geq e_p$$

Our result

(Busé-D-Sombra 2017)

If $\dim (V_p(f_1, \dots, f_n)) \leq 0$

$$\text{val}_p (\text{Res}(f_1, \dots, f_n)) \geq e_p$$

Equality holds if a polynomial of minimal degree f_i is replaced by a “generic” $f_i + pF_i$

Corollary

The factorization of the resultant actually bounds the (finite) zeroes modulo p

Corollary

The factorization of the resultant actually bounds the (finite) zeroes modulo p for all p !

$$p^{e_p} \mid \text{Res}(f_1, \dots, f_{n+1})$$

The univariate Theorem revisited

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\gcd(f_1 \bmod p, f_2 \bmod p)) \\ &= \\ e_p &= d_p \\ &= \\ \deg(V_p(f_1, f_2)) \end{aligned}$$

(Gomez-Gutierrez-Ibeas-Sevilla 2009)



Remarks

- The result works under the (generic) hypothesis of finiteness modulo p

Remarks

- The result works under the (generic) hypothesis of finiteness modulo p
- Bound is sharp but the “gap” may be large

Remarks

- The result works under the (generic) hypothesis of finiteness modulo p
- Bound is sharp but the “gap” may be large
- Not a clear “algorithm” for deciding if $\dim(V_p(f_1, \dots, f_n)) > 0$

Idea of our proof

- “Remove” the zeroes from the infinite & get f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} general complete intersection

Idea of our proof

- “Remove” the zeroes from the infinite & get f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} general complete intersection (linear change of coordinates)

Idea of our proof

- “Remove” the zeroes from the infinite & get f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} general complete intersection (linear change of coordinates)
- $\text{val}_p(\xi_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \forall \xi \in V(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})$

Idea of our proof

- “Remove” the zeroes from the infinite & get f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} general complete intersection (linear change of coordinates)
- $\text{val}_p(\xi_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \forall \xi \in V(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})$
- $\text{val}_p(f_n(\xi)) \geq 1 \forall \xi \in V_p(f_1, \dots, f_n)$

Idea of our proof

- “Remove” the zeroes from the infinite & get f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} general complete intersection (linear change of coordinates)
- $\text{val}_p(\xi_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \forall \xi \in V(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})$
- $\text{val}_p(f_n(\xi)) \geq 1 \forall \xi \in V_p(f_1, \dots, f_n)$
- Poisson formula

Applications 1

Applications 1

■ GCP $\text{Res}(f_1 + tx_1^{d_1}, \dots, f_n + tx_n^{d_n})$

Applications 1

- $\text{GCP Res}(f_1 + tx_1^{d_1}, \dots, f_n + tx_n^{d_n})$
 $= t^{e(f_1, \dots, f_n)} \mathcal{O}(1)$

Applications 1

- GCP $\text{Res}(f_1 + tx_1^{d_1}, \dots, f_n + tx_n^{d_n})$
 $= t^{e(f_1, \dots, f_n)} \mathcal{O}(1)$
- The “Milnor Number”
 $e(J_f) = \text{val}_t (\text{Disc}(f + tF))$
(Pham-Teissier)

Applications 1

- GCP $\text{Res}(f_1 + tx_1^{d_1}, \dots, f_n + tx_n^{d_n})$
 $= t^{e(f_1, \dots, f_n)} \mathcal{O}(1)$
- The “Milnor Number”
 $e(J_f) = \text{val}_t(\text{Disc}(f + tF))$
(Pham-Teissier)
- Number of roots of tropical polynomials (Hong-Sendra)

Applications 2

- Polynomial Dynamical Systems modulo p (Shparlinski)

Applications 2

- Polynomial Dynamical Systems modulo p (Shparlinski)
- Removing the “extraneous factor” in the computation of the “Salmon Polynomial” (Busé-Chardin-D-Sombra-Weimann2017)

Generalizations?

- Everybody uses that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}(f_1, \dots, f_n) \bmod p &= \\ \text{Res}(f_1 \bmod p, \dots, f_n \bmod p) \end{aligned}$$

Generalizations?

- Everybody uses that
$$\operatorname{Res}(f_1, \dots, f_n) \bmod p = \operatorname{Res}(f_1 \bmod p, \dots, f_n \bmod p)$$
- We also use Poisson formula & linear change of coordinates

Generalizations?

- Everybody uses that
$$\operatorname{Res}(f_1, \dots, f_n) \bmod p = \operatorname{Res}(f_1 \bmod p, \dots, f_n \bmod p)$$
- We also use Poisson formula & linear change of coordinates
- Makes hard to adapt to **sparse resultants** and **subresultants**

